

**COASTAL VULNERABILITY INDEX** 

FOR THE UAE

Photo: Paul Quinn

#### Regional Symposium on Climate Change • March 13-16, 2017

-----

## **CVI PROJECT TEAM**



### **COLLABORATORS & DATA PROVIDERS**

 Jane Glavan, William Dougherty, Robert Arthur, John Burt, Raymond Grizzle

Regional Symposium on Climate Change • March 13-16, 2017

# MOTIVATIONS & GOALS

Faced with growing intensity of <u>human activities</u> and <u>climate change</u>... coastal communities seek a better understanding of how modifications to the biological and physical environment can affect their exposure to storminduced erosion and flooding



### **OBSERVED EROSION & ACCRETION** DUBAI EXAMPLE



PALM JUMEIRAH

### THE WORLD ISLANDS / JUMEIRAH BEACH





### **COASTAL PROTECTION** "NATURE'S SHIELD"



### **Coastal Hazard Risk**

High Risk Areas: Coastal development with no habitat can increase risk. Habitat Loss and Risk: Loss of habitat erodes the coast and increases wave energy. Reducing Risk with Habitat Restoration: Marshes and oysters gather sediment and reduce wave energy.





### NATURE-BASED STRATEGIES

Arkema et al. 2013; The Nature Conservancy, 2013

## **SPATIAL SCOPE**

## Current distribution of **six natural habitats along UAE's seven coastal emirates**:

- 1. coral reefs
- 2. seagrass beds
- 3. salt marshes
- 4. mangrove forests
- 5. coastal sand dunes
- 6 ovster heds





## **COASTAL VULNERABILITY INDEX** IN THE CONTEXT OF CLIMATE CHANGE



## **Common barriers**

- Dearth of data describing the health of the coastal zone
- Limited technical capacity
- Complicated legal and political landscapes Verutes et al. in press, IJBESM

### CVI CONSULTATIONS & SITE VISTS MAY 2016













Regional Symposium on Climate Change • March 13-16, 2017



Regional Symposium on Climate Change • March 13-16, 2017

- 1. Which sections of the UAE coastline are **most exposed to hazards** from climate change and other factors?
- 2. Can we rely on **natural habitats** (coastal-marine biodiversity) to **reduce the impacts of coastal hazards** under future scenarios?
- 3. How can these findings inform future planning and management including conservation and restoration of natural habitats that protect coastal populations, infrastructure and other assets?

## **CONCLUSIONS**



Juli	e					
Na	tional		¢			
Zoo	n map to sele	cted scale:	🖲 no 🖳 ve	5		
-57.057			00,	÷.		
Sea	level rise 💡					
0	2020 💿 2050	2100				
cuo						
0	Relative exposu	ire to coasta	al hazards w	vith 🔹	current ha	bitats
	Where habitats	currently re	educe risk to r	coastal com	munities	
0	Priority conserv	ation areas	where mar	naroves \$	likely red	uce risk
-						
SH	OW CLEAR					
100 C		-				
LEG	END					
LEG	END					
-LEG	IABITAT ROLE			_		
LEG	END IABITAT ROLE	oastal comm	unities			
LEG	IABITAT ROLE	e oastal comm	unities			



# RECOMMENDATIONS & NEXT STEPS

# Can we rely on **natural habitats** to reduce the impacts of coastal





# Where to prioritize conservation and restoration to

Regional Symposium on Climato (hange - March 13-16  $\pm$  2017



### MAPPING LOCALLY-RELEVANT METRICS: SOCIAL & ECOLOGICAL VULNERABILITY

- Ocean benefits: tourism/recreation, blue carbon, f
- Coastal communities: total population, youth, el
- Infrastructure and other coastal assets
  - Access points, emergency services, ecologically-important roads, energy, cultural heritage, ...





### **SPATIAL PRIORITIZATION** TWO APPLICABLE FRAMEWORKS

CVI

### Scenario-based planning?







### Belize Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan 2016

"Promoting the Wise, Planned Use of Belize's Coastal Resources."

Coastal Zone Management Authority & Institute Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, Fisheries, the Environment & Sustainable Development

Regional Symposium on Climate Change • March 13-16, 2017

## CHALLENGES & OPPORTUNITIES

- Index-based approach
  - Screening tool with relative ranks (lowest – intermediate – highest)



- Multiscaled decision-support tool
  - National >> Emirate >> Local?
- Linkages to socioeconomics requires more spatial detail

Rec Prochemical chalgo Harch State Granio-based planning and policy-

### **NEXT STEPS** BUILDING UPON NATIONAL CVI

### **1.** Model validation

- Observed (empirical) data; spatially-explicit

### 2. Scenario planning

- Localized habitat risk assessment (UPC: Plan Maritime)
- Ecosystem services (MOCCAE: natural capital mapping)

### 3. Science-policy connections for nature-based strategi

- Restoration projects that meet least legal / political resist
- Examples of successful implementation









### **KNOWLEDGE CO-DEVELOPMENT**



### STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT CAN...

- Improve the quality of decisions<sup>1</sup>
- Increase perceptions that decisions are legitimate<sup>2</sup>
- Strengthen stakeholder knowledge and social capital<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Reed 2008 <sup>2</sup>Cash et al. 2003 <sup>3</sup>Chess & Purcell 1999, Blackstock et al. 2012

### **ASSESSING COASTAL VULNERABILITY**

Factors affecting spatial distribution of vulnerability to hazards along the



 $Exposure Index = (R_{Habitats}R_{Sea \ level \ rise}R_{Geomorphology}R_{Relief}R_{Wave}R_{SurgePotential})^{\overline{6}}$ 

	Very Low	Low	Moderate	High	Very High				
VARIABLE	Exposure Rank (1)	Exposure Rank (2)	Exposure Rank (3)	Exposure Rank (4)	Exposure Rank (5)				
Geomorphology	Rocky; high cliffs; seawalls	Medium cliff; bulkheads and small seawalls	Low cliff; alluvial plain; revetments; rip-rap walls	Cobble beach; estuary; lagoon; bluff	Barrier beach; sand beach; mud flat; delta				
Elevation (meters)	<b>14.1</b> - <b>290</b> (< 20 <sup>th</sup> percentile)	<b>11.3</b> - <b>14.1</b> (20 <sup>th</sup> to 40 <sup>th</sup> percentile)	<b>9.9</b> - <b>11.3</b> (40 <sup>th</sup> to 60 <sup>th</sup> percentile)	<b>8.5</b> - <b>9.9</b> (60 <sup>th</sup> to 80 <sup>th</sup> percentile)	<b>8.5</b> - <b>0</b> (>80 <sup>th</sup> percentile)				
Habitats	Coral reef; mangrove	Marsh	Coastal dune; oyster bed	Seagrass	No habitat				
<b>Sea Level Change</b> (year)	Near term (2020)	Mid term (2050)	Long term (Arabian Sea: 2100)	Long term (Arabian Gulf: 2100)	-				
Wave Exposure (kW/m)	< 0.001 (< 20 <sup>th</sup> percentile)	0.001 - 0.007 (20 <sup>th</sup> to 40 <sup>th</sup> percentile)	<b>0.007- 0.025</b> (40 <sup>th</sup> to 60 <sup>th</sup> percentile)	<b>0.025</b> - <b>0.200</b> (60 <sup>th</sup> to 80 <sup>th</sup> percentile)	0.200 - 22.35 (>80 <sup>th</sup> percentile)				
Storm Surge Height (meters)	-	<b>1.79</b> - <b>2.13</b> (< 25 <sup>th</sup> percentile)	<b>2.13</b> - <b>2.15</b> (25 <sup>th</sup> to 50 <sup>th</sup> percentile)	<b>2.15</b> - <b>2.37</b> (50 <sup>th</sup> to 75 <sup>th</sup> percentile)	<b>2.37</b> - <b>2.63</b> (>75 <sup>th</sup> percentile)				

## **DATA GAPS**

Mangrove

Forests

Coral Reefs









Seagrass

Land Protected **Avoided Damages** 

Ruckelshaus et al. 2016, Coastal Management



### **MANY** factors affecting flooding and erosion damages:

- Wave height and period
- \*Net sea level change (long-term tide) qauges)
- Land and \*water depths
- Substrate type
- Natural habitats (\*condition, \*function)
- \*Storm wind speeds & duration